

## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/214,868 EIFEL Н 01/14/99 47114 **EXAMINER** IM22/0818 KEIL & WEINKAUF ALEXANDER, L 1101 CONNECTICUT AVE NW ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WASHINGTON DC 20036 1743 DATE MAILED: 08/18/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

## Application No.

09/214,868

Applicant(s)

Eipel et al.

Office Action Summary Examiner

Lyle A. Alexander

Group Art Unit 1743



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claims ·	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	ed to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □approved □disapproved.
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
$\square$ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	the priority documents have been
received.	
<ul> <li>received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num</li> <li>received in this national stage application from the I</li> </ul>	
*Certified copies not received:	memational buleau (i CT Note 17.2(a)).
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	. •
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	•
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	3
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TH	HE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/214,868

Art Unit: 1743

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 line 3 "which may be provided with a surface loading ..." is confusing if this limitation is required. As the claim is presently written the surface loading areas are not required and the claim is only directed to a solid support. Clarification could be achieved by changing "which may be" to --are--.

Claim 2 is confusing how hydrophilic zones are within a continuous hydrophobic zone (if there are separate zones how is the hydrophobic zone continuous?).

Method claim 12 fails to provide critical steps required for a method such as adding a sample to the support, some type of reaction occurring on the support and a step of analysis.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/214,868

Page 3

Art Unit: 1743

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by EP 3.

0402718,PCT 94/27719, WO 95/35505 or Fox.

In light of the above 35 USC 112 second paragraph issues, the claims only require solid

support composed of an inert, hydrophilic, solid support. The cited prior art teaches device that

meet these limitations. Additionally, the cited prior art teaches hydrophilic measurement zones

separated by hydrophobic areas.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Lyle A. Alexander at

telephone number (703) 308-3893.

PRIMARY EXAMINER